

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Local Plan](#)  
**Subject:** Local Plan Main Modifications Consultation  
**Date:** 08 November 2024 15:14:09

---

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

**Attn. Wirral Local Plan Examination Planning Inspectors:**

The following Statements apply to each 'Modification' to which I respond below:

I have read and **agree** to the '**Consultation Data Protection Notice**'.

I have followed the stages of development and **support the Wirral Local Plan** and wish it to be adopted asap (as it is long-overdue and vital for the future of Wirral) and is '**Sound**'.

**Regarding MM1 (Delivering Growth through sustainable low carbon regeneration):**

I very much support the confirmation that "exceptional circumstances to justify alterations to the Green Belt boundaries set out in national planning policy do **NOT** exist in Wirral".

**Regarding MM3 (Housing Need); also MM5 new Para D; MM65 re Appendix 4, and**

**MM6:**

I support the Local Plan with the Total Supply figure of 11,814 net additional dwellings over the Plan Period (up to 2040) as it more than adequately caters for Wirral's real 'Housing Need'. I also support the recognition that the figure is "a reflection of levels that are currently demonstrably deliverable or developable" with the expectation that further sources of Supply will become available over time and as 'Regeneration' improves Market Confidence.

**Regarding AM32 (Housing Need):**

An additional note should be included to reflect the suggestion that a first Review after Adoption of this Local Plan be undertaken using the best and up-to-date Data, methodology and a locally 'Objectively Assessed Need'.

**Regarding MM40 (Policy WP8 Policy for the Rural Area – Agricultural Land):**

I consider that modification of the text has gone too far, resulting in the watering down of prescriptive protection of 'Best & Most Versatile' (B&MV) Green Belt Agricultural Land and also there is no mention of the Council Policy (unanimously voted through) **NOT** to release **ANY** 'productive agricultural land' for development, thereby maintaining or enhancing the Rural Economy and our national food security.

**New Para A:** the sentence, "areas of poorer quality land should be preferred" would be better to read, "areas of poorer quality land must be prioritised and instances of non-use justified."

**New Para B:** the addition of, "significant" in the phrase, "significant loss of agricultural land" is supported but undefined as to extent. Suggest adding, "in the opinion of the Council".

Kind Regards,

Miss H J Cameron

